

# Diffusion MRI Processing and Analysis

#### Submit your questions online







# Overview

- What is Diffusion? Diffusion-weighting in MRI
- Diffusion Tensor Model and DTI
- Tract-Based Diffusion analysis (TBSS)
- Distortion Correction for Diffusion MRI





#### **Diffusion - Brownian Motion**





#### Molecules are in constant motion at nonzero absolute temperatures (> -273° C)

#### Diffusion = thermally-driven random motion



#### **Diffusion - Brownian Motion**



Albert Einstein (1879-1955)

How can we describe this motion? For an ensemble of molecules, in *n*-dimensional space:

 $\langle x^2 \rangle = 2nDt$ time

mean squared displacement

Diffusion coefficient

Valid for a homogeneous, barrier-free medium.



#### Water Diffusion in the Brain. Why is it Interesting?



Diffusion is restricted by tissue boundaries, membranes, etc. Marker for tissue microstructure (healthy and pathology) Diffusion is **anisotropic** in white matter [Beaulieu, NMR Biomed, 2002]



#### **Apparent Diffusion**



Observed diffusion in tissues depends on the experiment = "Apparent diffusion" & "Apparent diffusion coefficient" (ADC)



Pulsed-Gradient Spin-Echo Sequence:

To achieve diffusion-weighting along a direction **x**, apply strong magnetic field gradients along **x**.



If particles diffuse along  $\mathbf{x}$  during the allowed time (DiffTime), a signal attenuation is observed, compared to the signal with G=0.



Pulsed-Gradient Spin-Echo Sequence:

To achieve diffusion-weighting along a direction **x**, apply strong magnetic field gradients along **x**.





## T2w Image No Diffusion-weighting (G=0)

#### Diffusion-weighted Image S

Ratio







Removes T2w contrast



Diffusion contrast can be modulated by: A) Diffusion weighting: Gradient strength, Diffusion time





More diffusion contrast with higher b :) ....But less signal left - exponential decay :(



Diffusion contrast can be modulated by: **B) Gradient Direction x** 





## **Orientation Contrast in dMRI**



Because diffusion is anisotropic in WM, applying a gradient G along different directions **x**, gives different contrast in WM.

Anisotropic measurements in

WM! Roughly **Isotropic** in

GM and CSF.



## A Typical dMRI Protocol

- Normally a few (at least one) b=0 volumes acquired, along with shells at higher b (~1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>).
- A shell is a set of volumes acquired with the same b-value, but different gradient orientation









- Images acquired with a Gradient along **x**, have contrast that is sensitive to diffusion of water molecules along **x**.

 Images acquired with higher b-values (stronger gradient/ longer diffusion time) are more sensitive to diffusion along x.

- When diffusion occurs, signal is attenuated compared to the one with no diffusion-weighting.

- In WM, measurements are anisotropic.

- In GM and CSF, measurements are roughly isotropic.

# RSIL

# Diffusion Tensor Imaging - basic principles



- Diffusion in brain tissues
- Apparent Diffusion Coefficient
- Diffusion Tensor model
- Tensor-derived measures



Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)

- Apply the diffusion tensor model to a set of dMRI images.





 $\square$ 

## Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)

Two dimensions

for all directions Scalar D (same l be different for different Tensor D - DTI (D can directions)



Three dimensions





### Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)

#### Diffusion Tensor Model. In each voxel:



[Basser, Biophys J,1994], [Basser et al , J Magn Res, 1994]



#### The Elements of the Diffusion Tensor



$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} & D_{xz} \\ D_{xy} & D_{yy} & D_{yz} \\ D_{xz} & D_{yz} & D_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Tensor is **symmetric** (6 unknowns)

- **Diagonal Elements** are proportional to the diffusion displacement variances (**ADCs**) along the three directions of the experiment coordinate system

#### -Off-diagonal Elements are proportional to the correlations (covariances) of displacements along these directions





Why do we need a tensor?





#### Why do we need a tensor?





#### Why do we need a tensor?



 $\begin{bmatrix} D_x & D_{xy} \\ D_{xy} & D_y \end{bmatrix}$ 



#### The Diffusion Tensor Eigenspectrum



 $\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} & D_{xz} \\ D_{xy} & D_{yy} & D_{yz} \\ D_{xz} & D_{yz} & D_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$ Once D is estimated, we get ADUS along a scanner's coordinate system. But we want ADCs along a local coordinate system in e Once D is estimated, we get ADCs along the ADCs along a local coordinate system in each voxel, determined by the anatomy.





Diagonalize the estimated tensor in each voxel



$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v_1} | \mathbf{v_2} | \mathbf{v_3} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v_1} | \mathbf{v_2} | \mathbf{v_3} \end{bmatrix}$$
eigenvectors -  $\mathbf{v_1}$ =direction of max diffusivity

eigenvalues. ADUS along  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ 



#### The Diffusion Tensor Ellipsoid





#### The Diffusion Tensor Ellipsoid





Fractional Anisotropy (FA) ~ Eigenvalues Variance (normalised) Mean Diffusivity (MD) = Eigenvalues Mean

$$FA = \sqrt{\frac{3\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\lambda_i - \overline{\lambda})^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i^2}}, \qquad FA \text{ in } [0,1]$$

$$MD = \frac{D_{xx} + D_{yy} + D_{zz}}{3} = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}{3}$$











FA decrease/ MD increase has been associated in many studies with tissue breakdown (loss of structure).



Fractional Anisotropy changes in MS normal appearing white matter



FA decrease/ MD increase has been associated in many studies with tissue breakdown (loss of structure).



Fractional Anisotropy changes in MS normal appearing white matter



Different scenarios can have same effect on FA, MD













Transverse/radial/perpendicular ADC  $(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/2$ 







FA decrease in WM can be caused:

a) Decrease of longitudinal ADC. Axonal breakdown?

b) Increase of transverse ADC. Myelin breakdown?

But do not over-interpret your results. Always keep in mind that the DTI model is an oversimplification of reality





### **Tensor and FA in Crossing Regions**

- In voxels containing two crossing bundles, FA is low and the tensor ellipsoid is pancake-shaped (oblate, planar tensor).



Consequences:

PDD not necessarily = direction of fibres
FA changes difficult to interpret



#### **Diffusion Tensor Ellipsoids**

#### Fractional anisotropy



#### Mean diffusion







#### Estimates of Principal Fibre Orientation in WM

**v**<sub>1</sub> map Principal Diffusion Direction



Principal Diffusion Direction



Assumption!!

#### **Direction of maximum**

diffusivity in voxels with anisotropic profile is an estimate of the major fibre orientation.



#### Estimates of Principal Fibre Orientation in WM



Colour-coded  $v_1$  map




#### Directional contrast in DTI





### **TBSS : Tract-Based Spatial Statistics**

#### Robust "voxelwise" cross-subject stats on diffusion-derived measures







### Voxel-wise Analysis of FA

- Compute diffusion tensor
- Align all subjects' data to standard space
  - Diffusion (b0/FA) -> structural -> standard
  - FA -> standard
- Do voxelwise stats (e.g. controls-patients)



Büchel 2004



### VBM-style Analysis of FA

- Strengths
  - Fully automated & quick
  - Investigates whole brain
- Problems [Bookstein 2001, Davatzikos 2004, Jones 2005]
  - Alignment difficult; smallest systematic shifts between groups can be incorrectly interpreted as FA change
  - Needs smoothing to help with registration problems
  - No objective way to choose smoothing extent





### **TBSS : Tract-Based Spatial Statistics**



- Need: robust "voxelwise" cross-subject stats on DTI
- Problem: alignment issues confound valid local stats
- TBSS: solve alignment using alignment-invariant features:
- Compare FA taken from tract centres (via skeletonisation)



### I. Use medium-DoF nonlinear reg to pre-align all subjects' FA (nonlinear reg: FNIRT)







### 2. "Skeletonise" Mean FA









### 3. Threshold Mean FA Skeleton

giving "objective" tract map





### 3. Threshold Mean FA Skeleton

giving "objective" tract map





4. For each subject's warped FA, fill each point on the mean-space skeleton with nearest maximum FA value (i.e., from the centre of the subject's nearby tract)





5. Do cross-subject voxelwise stats on skeleton-projected FA and Threshold, (e.g., permutation testing, including multiple comparison correction)







subject 1

one skeleton voxel's data vector (to be fed into GLM)



## TFCE for TBSS

#### controls > schizophrenics p<0.05 corrected for multiple comparisons across space, using randomise





cluster-based: cluster-forming threshold = 2 or 3



#### TFCE



### Schizophrenia (Mackay)

TBSS & voxel-wise show reduced FA in corpus callosum & fornix VBM shows spurious result in thalamus due to increased ventricles in schiz.



#### Multiple Sclerosis (Cader, Johansen-Berg & Matthews)





#### **TBSS - Conclusions**

- Diffusion MRI measures direction and size of water diffusion in the brain
- Diffusion tensor (DTI) models this diffusion
- DTI summary measures (FA/MD/axial/radial) can be compared across subjects using TBSS

Submit your questions online





# eddy and topup - tools for processing of diffusion data





#### Submit your questions online





## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- Quality control
- New eddy features







#### Well, it isn't very anatomically faithful





In fact, it isn't even internally consistent





#### In fact, it isn't even internally consistent





In fact, it isn't even internally consistent



## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- New eddy features

An "off-resonance" field is a map of the difference between what we think the field is and what it really is.



It is all caused by an "off-resonance" field

## Off-resonance field $\Rightarrow$ Distortions or this Can sield this scanned in But this object this field

So there is clearly more to this story...



An off-resonance field is effectively a scaled voxel-displacement map. If we know the imaging parameters we can do the translation.



And know what to expect



An off-resonance field is effectively a scaled voxel-displacement map.

If we know the imaging parameters we can do the translation.

BW/voxel = 10Hz, **p** = [0 1 0]



voxels 7.5 5 2.5 0

And know what to expect

So, an off-resonance field is effectively a scaled voxel-displacement map.

And if we know the imaging parameters we can do the translation.

BW/voxel = 8Hz, **p** = [-1 0 0]



## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- New eddy features

- •There are two sources
- •The first is the object (head) itself.

(CT of) Human head

 $B_0 \odot$ 







PPMs

 $Must fulfil \begin{cases} \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \mathbf{0} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$ (still)

- There are two sources
- •The first is the object (head) itself.



•The second is caused by the diffusion gradient











### Separate estimation of susceptibilityand eddy current-fields

#### So, what we need to estimate is

One of these per subject

One of these per volume



topup



eddy

#### FSL-tools:



## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- Quality control
- New eddy features
# Given two images acquired with different phase-encoding



**p**=[0 1 0]



## How topup works (very briefly)

#### topup "guesses" a field...



#### **p**=[0 1 0]



### How topup works (very briefly)

# How topup works (very briefly)



#### ...calculates the displacement maps...

#### ... "corrects" the images...



## How topup works (very briefly)



**p**=[0 1 0]

**p**=[0 -1 0]

#### ...and evaluates the results... And this is the crucial bit.

**BAD**!

## How topup works (very briefly)



**p**=[0 1 0]















better

Because topup can then "guess" another field



## How topup works (very briefly)



















even better

...and another...until it is happy, and then it "knows" the field



### Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- Quality control
- New eddy features



#### But it is not easy to register diffusion weighted images











- Each image has different distortions -> non-linear registration
- What is the reference image?

## Zoltar -- The prediction maker



Given some data in, Zoltar will make a prediction what the data "should" be. The prediction for a given dwi will not be identical to the "input" for that dwi

I know this sounds crazy, but please trust me on this. (Zoltar is actually a Gaussian Process)

## How eddy works: Loading step

#### Pick the first dwi



#### Use current estimates of Susc EC MP

# To correct image











## How eddy works: Loading step

#### then the 2nd dwi



# Use current estimates of<br/>SuscECMPImage: Image of the second secon

#### To correct 2nd image







Until we have loaded all dwis

## How eddy works: Estimation step

#### Draw a prediction for first dwi









To get prediction in "observation space"

And compare to actual observation

## How eddy works: Estimation step

#### Draw a prediction for 2nd dwi









And then we repeat the procedure for the next dwi ...



## How eddy works





#### Under the hood of Zoltar



The signal is "modelled" in a data-driven fashion assuming that points close together on the unit sphere have similar signal.



#### Under the hood of Zoltar



The GP can model voxels with complicated anatomy while still being computationally convenient.

Shells with strong signal can help inform predictions in shells with poor signal



### Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- Quality control
- New eddy features



#### Practicalities



#### •Our example data consists of:

- •N diffusion weighted volumes and n b=0 volumes
- •*b*=0 volumes interspersed
- •Two repetitions, phase-encode  $L \rightarrow R$  and  $R \rightarrow L$
- •Same diffusion table for both repetitions



#### Practicalities





#### Practicalities



And everything is of course affected by subject movement.

## So, let's start with susceptibility



# Extract the/some b=0 volumes using fslroi



. . .

# So, let's start with susceptibility







And let's call it for

#### 

#### topup --imain=my\_b0s



topup --imain=my\_b0s --datain=acqparams.txt

 $\begin{array}{cccccccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \end{array}$ 

Text file that we can call for example acqparams.txt



topup --imain=my\_b0s --datain=acqparams.txt --config=b02b0.cnf



 $\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.051 \end{array}$ 

acqparams.txt

#### And the tool for that is topup And finally we need to tell it where to put the results --out=my topup topup --imain=my\_b0s --datain=acqparams.txt --config=b02b0.cnf my\_topup\_movpar.txt 0 0 0 0 0 0 Tells position of 2nd b=0 ▶0.72 -0.02 -0.07 0.002 0.000 0.002 scan relative the first 0 -0.11 -0.33 0.002 0.013 -0.004 -0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004







#### Back to the full data-set



Now we want to correct the eddy current-distortions and subject movement in the whole data set.

my\_topup\_fieldcoef.nii

-1 0 0 0.051 -1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 acqparams.txt



0 0 0 0 0 0 0.72 -0.02 -0.07 0.002 0.000 0.002 0 -0.11 -0.33 0.002 0.013 -0.004 -0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004 my\_topup\_movpar.txt



The first thing we do is to collect all data in a single file using fslmerge and call it for example LR\_RL

my\_topup\_fieldcoef.nii

-1 0 0 0.051 -1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 acqparams.txt



0 0 0 0 0 0 0.72 -0.02 -0.07 0.002 0.000 0.002 0 -0.11 -0.33 0.002 0.013 -0.004 -0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004 my\_topup\_movpar.txt

#### Inform eddy of acquisition parameters



## Then we make a text file with one index for each volume, and call it for example indx.txt

my\_topup\_fieldcoef.nii

-1 0 0 0.051 -1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 acqparams.txt



0 0 0 0 0 0 0.72 -0.02 -0.07 0.002 0.000 0.002 0 -0.11 -0.33 0.002 0.013 -0.004 -0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004 my\_topup\_movpar.txt

#### Inform eddy of acquisition parameters



#### Inform eddy of acquisition parameters

. . .

333333333333333444 ... indx.txt And by referring into my topup movpar.txt it gives a starting guess for the relative subject position for each volume

111111111111111222

my topup fieldcoef.nii

0 0.051 0.051 0 0 0.051 0 0 0.051 acqparams.txt

0 0 0 0 0 0 0.72 - 0.02 - 0.07 0.002 0.000 0.0020 - 0.11 - 0.33 0.002 0.013 - 0.004-0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004 my topup movpar.txt

... LR RL



And we also need to know the b-value and b-vector for each volume (same as for dtifit or bedpost).

my\_topup\_fieldcoef.nii

-1 0 0 0.051 -1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 acqparams.txt



0 0 0 0 0 0 0.72 -0.02 -0.07 0.002 0.000 0.002 0 -0.11 -0.33 0.002 0.013 -0.004 -0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004 1111... my\_topup\_movpar.txt indx.txt



And finally a binary mask that tells eddy which voxels are brain. Also the same that is used for dtifit/bedpost.

my\_topup\_fieldcoef.nii

-1 0 0 0.051 -1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 1 0 0 0.051 acqparams.txt



0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.72 -0.02 -0.07 0.002 0.000 0.002 0 -0.11 -0.33 0.002 0.013 -0.004 -0.70 -0.12 -0.43 0.002 0.014 -0.004 1111... my\_topup\_movpar.txt indx.txt indx.txt bvecs


### And now we can run eddy

eddy --imain=LR\_RL --acqp=acqparams.txt
--index=indx.txt --bvecs=bvecs
--bvals=bvals --mask=brain\_mask

--topup=my\_topup --out=my\_eddy

And now we are ready for the most horrible command line in all of fsl



#### my\_topup\_fieldcoef.nii





## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- Quality control
- New eddy features



## HCP-data, 150 directions, b=3000, blip-up-blip-down





### MGH-data, 198 directions, b=10000!





### MGH-data, 198 directions, b=10000!









## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
  - How does it cause distortions?
  - Where does it come from?
- Registering diffusion data
  - How topup works
  - How eddy works
- Practicalities
- Some results
- Quality control
- New eddy features



# EDDY QC: single-subject reports

#### **Biobank subject A**

#### Volume-to-volume motion

Average abs. motion (mm)	0.81
Average rel. motion (mm)	0.88
Average x translation (mm)	0.17
Average y translation (mm)	-0.10
Average z translation (mm)	-0.02
Average x rotation (deg)	0.07
Average y rotation (deg)	0.17
Average z rotation (deg)	0.15

#### Outliers

Total outliers (%)	0.11
Outliers (b=1000 s/mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.22
Outliers (b=2000 s/mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.00
Outliers (PE dir=[0. 1. 0.])	0.00
Outliers (PE dir=[ 01. 0.])	0.11



#### Within-volume motion

Avg std x translation (mm)	0.02
Avg std y translation (mm)	0.11
Avg std z translation (mm)	0.04
Avg std x rotation (deg)	0.05
Avg std y rotation (deg)	0.05
Avg std z rotation (deg)	0.06

#### Biobank subject B

#### Volume-to-volume motion

Average abs. motion (mm)	1.86
Average rel. motion (mm)	1.24
Average x translation (mm)	-0.43
Average y translation (mm)	0.39
Average z translation (mm)	0.69
Average x rotation (deg)	0.50
Average y rotation (deg)	0.49
Average z rotation (deg)	-0.55
A	

#### Within-volume motion

-	Avg std x translation (mm)	0.08
-	Avg std y translation (mm)	0.22
-	Avg std z translation (mm)	0.13
-	Avg std x rotation (deg)	0.15
-	Avg std y rotation (deg)	0.09
-	Avg std z rotation (deg)	0.11

#### Outliers

Total outliers (%)	2.86
Outliers (b=1000 s/mm <sup>2</sup> )	4.69
Outliers (b=2000 s/mm <sup>2</sup> )	1.13
Outliers (PE dir=[0. 1. 0.])	2.55
Outliers (PE dir=[ 01. 0.])	2.66







## EDDY QC: group report





## Data quality illustration





## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
- Registering diffusion data
- Practicalities
- Some results
- New eddy features
  - Movement-induced dropout
  - Intra-volume motion



### Movement induced dropout

Diffusion encoding

 $\xrightarrow{} \mathsf{RF}$ 

Image encoding



If there is movement during this part...





this

can turn to this



#### What can eddy do about it? But first a little recap of eddy For all scans 2. For all scans [100] [.6-.4-.7] [.8.60] [-.4.90] [0 0 1] Gaussian Get prediction Process **Use susceptibility** 0.20.6 field and current **Invert current** 0.2÷ 0.6 : 0.1 estimate of EC and transform EC topup mp movement to EC topup mp Use "unwarp" scan Get prediction difference in scan space to update EC and mp [0 0 1] [0 0 1] Load into prediction maker Compare to scan



## Outlier detection

#### **Observed** data



Remember that we

do all comparisons in

observation space.



#### **Observed - predicted**



This allows us to calculate the per-slice mean difference between observation and prediction



### Outlier detection



We can calculate the mean difference for every slice in every volume and get an empirical distribution that we can convert to z-scores



We can define an outlier slice as one with a z-score above an (arbitrary) threshold. We then have a choice of reporting outliers and/or replacing them with their predictions.

Worst slice



### eddy revisited



### Norwegian data. 32 directions. Hundreds of children.



Eight year old who gets tired towards the end of scanning

After outlier detection and replacement by eddy



## Outline of the talk

- What is the problem with diffusion data?
- Off-resonance field
- Registering diffusion data
- Practicalities
- Some results
- New eddy features
  - Movement-induced dropout
  - Intra-volume motion



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.



This is the brain we set out to image



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.



This is the brain we set out to image



And here we have acquired the first slice



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.

But the subject moves



This is the brain we set out to image



So the brain is offset in the second slice



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.

But the subject moves



This is the brain we set out to image



And even more so in the third slice



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.

#### But the subject moves



This is the brain we set out to image



And more ...



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.

#### But the subject moves



This is the brain we set out to image



... and more ...



One of the (possibly naive) assumptions of most movement correction is that any movement is instantaneous and occurs between the acquisition of consecutive volumes.





etc.

This is the brain we set out to image



- This is known as the "slice-to-vol" problem or the "intravolume movement" problem.
- The new version of eddy addresses this problem.
- It estimates the slice wise movement through the same Gaussian Process based forward model.







Original data





Original data

After correction without outlier correction





Original data

After correction without outlier correction

After correction with outlier replacement





Original data

After correction without outlier correction

After correction with outlier replacement After intravolume movement correction.





# Highlighting the difference between just OLR and OLR combined with S2V correction